

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Vitess - Minissa Nints of Patent's ANI TRADEMAKEN
Washington 1 - 20001

DATE MAILED: 11-19-2002

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
04.720,629	02 28 2001	Atsushi Matsunaga	13006,077	2515
٦.	S90 11 19 2002			
Fildes & Outland Suite 2 20916 Mack Avenue			EXAMINER	
			JUSKA, CHERYL ANN	
Grosse Pointe Woods, MI 48236			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1271	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ı			<b>f</b> t
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/720,629	MATSUNAGA ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Cheryl Juska	1771
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address
A SH THE I - Externation of the - If the - If NO - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a popenod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	N. R.1.136(a) In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U S C § 133)
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und ion of Claims		
	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion	
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without the applicant state without the above claim(s) is/are without state with state without state with sta		
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	diawii iioiii consideration.	
·	· / <del></del>		
·	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.		
•	Claim(s) <u>8-13</u> is/are objected to.	d/or election requirement	
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and ion Papers	a/or election requirement.	
· · _	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac		the Examiner.
, —	Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)[-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	The translation of the foreign language  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Attachmen	•		30
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

3) N Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1/2.

6) Other:

Application Control Number: 09 720.629

Art Unit: 1771

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 8-13 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 8-13 have not been further treated on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 6 and 7 are indefinite because it is unclear what the thermally bonded filaments of claim 5 can be "partially bonded with heat and pressure with each other or thermally bonded at contact points of the filaments." If the filaments are thermally bonded in claim 5, then claims 6 and 7 can further limit claim 5 by stating the filaments are thermally bonded at the contact points. However, it is then unclear how the phrase "partially bonded with heat and pressure" further limits the thermally bonded filaments of claim 5. Are the filaments now only partially bonded? Do the filaments require pressure in addition to the thermal energy to bond?

Application Control Number: 09 720,629 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over EP 765 959 issued to Nagaoka et al.

Applicant claims a nonwoven fabric comprising polylactic acid-based filaments, having either a round or non-round (i.e., lobal) cross-section and either monocomponent or bicomponent (i.e., side-by-side, sheath/core, islands in the sea). The filament has a specifically claimed birefringence and a crystallization degree of 13-25% by weight. The nonwoven has a specifically claimed heat shrinkage percentage. The filaments of the nonwoven are thermally bonded with each other at contact points or partially bonded via heat and pressure. Additionally, the filaments of the nonwoven fabric are three-dimensionally entangled with each other.

Nagaoka discloses a nonwoven fabric made of polylactic acid filaments (abstract and claim 1). The filaments are partially bonded together to form the nonwoven by means of heat and pressure (claim 2). The nonwoven is three-dimensionally entangled by needlepunching or hydroentanglement (page 8, lines 33-35). The filament may be either solid or hollow (Figure 1)

Application Control Number: 09 720,629

Art Unit: 1771

and have a round (Figures 1, 4, and 6) or "odd-shaped" cross-sectional area, (Figures 2, 3, and 5) (claim 7). Additionally, the filament may be a bicomponent fiber (Figures 4-6) (claim 8). The filament has a crystallization degree of 7-40% (claim 23).

Thus, it can be seen that Nagaoka anticipates Applicant's claims with the exception of the presently claimed birefringence and heat shrinkage. However, it is reasonable to presume that the invention of Nagaoka inherently possesses said birefringence and heat shrinkage. Support for said presumption is found in the use of like materials (i.e., polylactic acid filaments with a crystallization degree of 7-40%) and the use of like processes (i.e., nonwoven with partial bonding by means of heat and pressure). The burden is upon the Applicant to prove otherwise. *In re Fitzgerald*, 205 USPQ 594. In the alternative, the presently claimed properties of birefringence and heat shrinkage would obviously have been present once the Nagaoka nonwoven is provided. *In re Best*, 195 USPQ 433. therefore, claims 1-7 are rejected as being anticipated by or obvious over the cited Nagaoka reference.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 703-305-4472. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1771

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.